

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,947	10/27/2000	Kiichiro Sakashita	198801US3	6701	
22850	7590 12/26/2002				
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER		
			KEITH, JACK W		
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3641		
			DATE MAILED: 12/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/696,947

Sakashita et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner Jack Keith Art Unit 3641



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sl	heet with t	the correspondence address		
Period fo	• •					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing o	date of this communication.		,	,		
- If NO pe - Failure t - Any rep	ariod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6 ne application to beco	) MONTHS fro ome ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. INED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 10, 20	002				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This acti	ion is non-fina	1.			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 💢 (	Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
48	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆 (	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 🗌 (	Claim(s)		<del></del>	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 💢 (	Claims <u>13 and 14</u>	are	subject '	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) 🗆 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🗌 🤺	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	: a)□ ar	oproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗆 .	3) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗆	a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).	_		
	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	•				
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.C	7. 33 120 and/or 121.		
Attachmer		4) Interview St	Immany (PTO-	413) Paper No(s).		
_	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		Application (PTO-152)		
_	· -	6) Other:				
	12 to 12 commander					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Upon review of applicant's cancellation of claims 1-12 and addition of new claims 13 and 14 a new restriction/election is required.
- 2. The following discrepancies are noted in regard to the newly added claims and the amendment to the specification.
- a. It appears that applicant's new claim 13 contains new matter. There does not appear to be any support in the originally filed disclosure for a "homogeneous structure".
- b. Note further from the specification page 16, lines 10+. A neutron absorber for a BWR is a boron or boron compound and for a PWR a Ag-In-Cd compound. Note that applicant elected a PWR in Paper no. 6. Accordingly, it would appear that boron is unacceptable for a PWR.
- c. It is not clear if the amendment to the specification contains new matter.

  Although at first glance it does not appear that new matter has been added a statement to the fact that no new matter has been added is requested.
- 3. The applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):



Embodiment wherein the reactor is a PWR.

Art Unit: 3641

- B. Embodiment wherein the reactor is a BWR.
- 4. <u>Upon election of species A or B</u>, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):
- a. Embodiment wherein the boron added to the aluminum composite material and aluminum alloy is in the form of boron powder.
- b. Embodiment wherein the boron added to the aluminum composite material and aluminum alloy is in the form of a boron compound.
- 5. <u>Upon election of species a or b</u>, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):
- i. Embodiment wherein the boron has a neutron absorbing performance comparable to the aluminum composite material only.
- Embodiment wherein the boron has a neutron absorbing performance comparable to an aluminum alloy only.
- iii. Embodiment wherein the boron has a neutron absorbing performance comparable to the aluminum composite material and the aluminum alloy.

Art Unit: 3641

- 6. <u>Upon election of the species i, ii or iii</u>, identified above the applicant is further required to elect a single ultimate species for <u>each</u> of the following under 35 USC 121 for the purpose of examination. This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of materials or compositions that can be included in absorber rod:
  - (1) Elect the aluminum composite material (see specification).

AL-mg-Si

- (2) Elect the aluminum alloy material (see specification).
- 7. <u>Upon election of the species b above</u>, identified above the applicant is further required to elect a single ultimate species for <u>each</u> of the following under 35 USC 121 for the purpose of examination. This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of boron compositions that can be included in as the neutron absorber material:

 $\mathcal{G}_{y}$  C AA. Elect the boron compound (see specification).

8. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/696,947

Art Unit: 3641

Page 5

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Keith whose telephone number is (703) 306-5752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Art Unit: 3641

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Jack Keith Examiner, Art Unit 3641

jwk

December 23, 2002